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Background:
We investigated the feasibility of applying magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-targeted biopsy (TB) in patients with prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels <20 ng/mL.

Material/Methods:
We retrospectively analyzed 218 patients with PSA levels <20 ng/mL and suspicious lesions according to the Prostate Imaging Recording and Data System version 2.0 (PI-RADS v2). All 218 men underwent transperineal MRI-TB, followed by template-guided 12-core systematic biopsy (SB). Of the 218 patients undergoing TB, 100 received MRI-ultrasound-assisted software fusion biopsy (FB) and 118 received cognitive biopsy (CB). Clinically significant prostate cancer (csPCa) was defined as a Gleason score $\geq 3+4$.

Results:
The overall TB positive rate was similar to that of SB ($P=0.156$), but with a higher diagnostic rate for csPCa ($P=0.034$). SB misdiagnosed csPCa in 11.47% of cases; TB misdiagnosed csPCa in 5.50% of cases. SB+TB detected more tumors with a Gleason score of 7 than did SB alone (43 vs 22). Detection rates of csPCa were similar for CB and FB ($P=0.217$). In total, 47 men had 2 MRI-determined suspicious areas. Of 265 suspicious areas, 143 (53.96%) had a PI-RADS v2 score of 3; 92 (34.71%) had a score of 4; and 30 (11.32%) had a score of 5. The positive detection rates for csPCa in patients with PI-RADS v2 scores of 3, 4, and 5, were 11.19%, 48.91%, and 80.00%, respectively.

Conclusions:
TB increased the positive biopsy detection rate but missed some cases of csPCa. TB combined with SB may be the most suitable biopsy for patients with PSA <20 ng/mL.
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Background

Transrectal ultrasound-guided (TRUS) systematic biopsy is the current criterion standard for diagnosing prostate cancer (PCa) [1]. Previous studies have shown that the positive detection rate of biopsies can be related to an abnormal increase in the serum levels of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) [2]. However, this method has several disadvantages, including a low detection rate for clinically significant prostate cancer (csPCa) [3], upgrading of the postoperative Gleason score [4], a high false-negative rate [5], and the overdetection of non-clinically significant PCa [6].

In 1983, Hricak et al [7] were the first to report the diagnostic value of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) for the diagnosis of PCa. With the development of multiparametric imaging and technology, which allows for the combination of anatomical and functional data, an increasing number of physicians are now using mpMRI to guide biopsies [8]. A previous study by Lo et al [9] found that the overall negative-and positive-predictive values of mpMRI were 80% to 91% and 52% to 57%, respectively. Since then, a large number of studies have reported that, compared with SB, mpMRI-guided targeted biopsy (TB) appears to have a higher detection rate for csPCa and a reduced level of detection for non-clinically significant PCa [10,11]. The mpMRI is currently regarded as an important method for improving the detection rate of csPCa.

The most widely accepted indication for biopsy is a PSA value >4 ng/mL. The detection rate increases with increasing PSA level. However, in patients with a PSA level <20 ng/mL, the detection rate of SB is only 20% to 32% and can cause some cases of csPCa to miss the optimal treatment time [12,13]. In contrast, the prognosis for patients with a PSA level <20 ng/mL is significantly better than that of those with PSA levels above 20 ng/mL [14]. Therefore, it is critical to identify a novel diagnostic method, such as mpMRI-TB, for patients with PSA levels <20 ng/mL. Unfortunately, little is known about the efficacy of mpMRI-TB for patients with PSA values <20 ng/mL, particularly in northeastern China.

In this study, we describe the use of mpMRI-TB in patients with PSA values <20 ng/mL in northeastern China. We evaluated the feasibility of mpMRI-TB for patients with PSA levels <20 ng/mL.

Material and Methods

Patients

This study included 218 patients who underwent initial biopsy with PSA levels ranging from 4 ng/mL to <20 ng/mL and with suspicious lesions in the prostate upon MRI. In addition, we considered patient age, a range of influential factors, and whether there were abnormal results from a digital rectal examination (DRE). All patients were treated in the Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University (Dalian, China) between January 2018 and March 2021. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University (2020037). All patients first underwent transperineal mpMRI-TB and then underwent template-guided 12-core systematic biopsy (SB). According to the different methods of TB, we divided the patients into an MRI software fusion-TB (FB) group (n=100) and a cognitive fusion biopsy (CB) group (n=118).

mpMRI Technique

The mpMRI procedure was performed with a 3-Tesla MRI system and included T1-weighted imaging, T2-weighted imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging, and an apparent diffusion coefficient. Prostate volume was measured using the following formula: (maximum anterior-posterior diameter)×(maximum transverse diameter)×(maximum cranio-caudal diameter)/0.52 [15]. All mpMRI examinations were reviewed by an expert genitourinary radiologist with 5 years of experience in prostate mpMRI, who had interpreted >400 prostate mpMRI examinations using the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2 (PI-RADS v2) [15], where scores of 1=unlikely, 2=equivocal, 3=likely, and 4=highly likely to harbor csPCa. All lesions with PI-RADS scores ≥3 were considered as suspicious for cancer.

Methods and Procedures

All patients were administered levofloxacin tablets (500 mg) as a perioperative antibiotic treatment. Patients were also given an enema with 500 mL saline 2 h before the procedure. All patients with a PI-RADS score ≥3 underwent TB. All procedures were performed by the same urologists, who had independently completed more than 10 transperineal prostate biopsies. Patients were first given local anesthesia in the skin and prostate tip and around the prostate capsule with 0.1% lidocaine (20 mL), while in the lithotomy position. When CB was performed, the operator first reviewed the MRI scans to confirm the suspicious areas. Then, using real-time TRUS images, the surgeon performed transperineal biopsy of the suspicious region. For FB, the surgeon used the Fusion Software and transrectal TRUS platform (MIM software Co., Ltd., Cleveland, OH, USA). The radiologist and surgeon were involved in conducting these biopsies. A radiologist, who was experienced in prostate mpMRI, marked the suspicious lesions on MRI and correlated this to the real-time TRUS images for SB. To confirm the suspicious areas, the patients underwent an average of 2 needles. Biopsy cores were individually labeled.

Informed consent for this work was obtained from all patients. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University (2020037). All patients first underwent transperineal mpMRI-TB and then underwent template-guided 12-core systematic biopsy (SB). According to the different methods of TB, we divided the patients into an MRI software fusion-TB (FB) group (n=100) and a cognitive fusion biopsy (CB) group (n=118).
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Methods and Procedures

All patients were administered levofloxacin tablets (500 mg) as a perioperative antibiotic treatment. Patients were also given an enema with 500 mL saline 2 h before the procedure. All patients with a PI-RADS score ≥3 underwent TB. All procedures were performed by the same urologists, who had independently completed more than 10 transperineal prostate biopsies. Patients were first given local anesthesia in the skin and prostate tip and around the prostate capsule with 0.1% lidocaine (20 mL), while in the lithotomy position. When CB was performed, the operator first reviewed the MRI scans to confirm the suspicious areas. Then, using real-time TRUS images, the surgeon performed transperineal biopsy of the suspicious region. For FB, the surgeon used the Fusion Software and transrectal TRUS platform (MIM software Co., Ltd., Cleveland, OH, USA). The radiologist and surgeon were involved in conducting these biopsies. A radiologist, who was experienced in prostate mpMRI, marked the suspicious lesions on MRI and correlated this to the real-time TRUS images for SB. To confirm the suspicious areas, the patients underwent an average of 2 needles. Biopsy cores were individually labeled.
After the completion of the mpMRI-TB, patients underwent SB, according to the standard 5-mm template brachytherapy grid, resulting in the SB+TB group.

Definition of csPCa

There is still no consensus on the definition of csPCa, although one of the most widely accepted indicators for csPCa is a Gleason score \( \geq 3+4 \) [16-18]. Therefore, we regarded a Gleason score \( \geq 3+4 \) as indicating csPCa.

Data Analysis

All analyses were conducted with SPSS version 23 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Patient characteristics, MRI findings, and pathological evaluations were compared between the 2 groups using the t-test. Differences in PCa detection rates and csPCa detection rates between the 2 groups were compared using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s test, as appropriate. \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 218 patients were included in our study. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age was 63 years (interquartile range [IQR], 9). The median prostate volume was 50.02 (IQR, 25), median PSA level was 8.7 ng/mL (IQR, 8), and 41 (18.81%) patients had abnormal DRE results. In total, 47 patients had 2 suspicious lesions according to MRI, and 112, 78, and 28 patients exhibited maximum PI-RADSv2 scores of 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

Comparison Between the SB and SB+TB Groups

There was a significant difference in PCa detection rates between the SB (43.12%) and SB+TB (52.75%) groups (\( P=0.001 \)). There was also a significantly significant difference in the rate of csPCa detection between the 2 groups, with 75 patients (34.40%) having csPCa detected in the SB+TB group compared with only 50 patients (22.94%) in the SB group (\( P=0.001 \)). The combination of SB and TB detected more tumors with a Gleason score of 7 than did SB alone (43 vs 22), but the detection rate for tumors with a Gleason score \( >8 \) was similar between in

| Table 1. Basic characteristics of the study population. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Biopsy**      | **SB**          | **SB+TB**       |
| Patients (n)    | 218             | 218             |
| Age at biopsy, years (median, IQR) | 63 (9) | 63 (9) |
| PSA, ng/mL (median, IQR) | 8.70 (8) | 8.70 (8) |
| Prostate volume, mL (median, IQR) | 50.02 (25) | 50.02 (25) |
| Abnormal DRE, n (%) | 35 (16.06%) | 35 (16.06%) |
| Maximum PI-RADS v2 score, n | | |
| 3               | 112             |
| 4               | 78              |
| 5               | 28              |

| Table 2. A Comparative analysis of the SB and SB+TB groups. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **SB**          | **SB+TB**       | **P value**     |
| Patients (n)    | 218             | 218             | 0.001 |
| Total no. cores (n) | 2616            | 3146            | 0.001 |
| Mean no. cores per patient (SD) | 12.00 (±0.00) | 14.43 (±0.85) | 0.001 |
| Positive for any cancer, n (%) | 94 (43.12%) | 115 (52.75%) | 0.001 |
| Positive for clinically significant cancer, n (%) | 50 (22.94%) | 75 (34.40%) | 0.001 |
| Gleason score, n | | | |
| 6               | 44              | 40              |
| 7               | 72              | 43              |
| 8               | 16              | 19              |
| 9               | 7               | 8               |
| 10              | 5               | 5               |

SB – systematic biopsy; TB – targeted biopsy.

PSAD – prostate specific antigen density; DRE – digital rectum examination; PSA – prostate-specific antigen; SD – standard deviation; PI-RADS v2 – Prostate Imaging Recording and Data System version 2.0; IQR – interquartile range.
the 2 groups (Table 2). When using TB, the detection rates for PCa and csPCa were 45.87% (100/218) and 28.90% (63/218), respectively. When considering the diagnostic performance of only SB or TB, the overall positive detection rate of TB was higher than that of SB (45.87% vs 43.12%, P=0.156), leading to a higher diagnostic rate for clinically significant prostate cancer (csPCa) (28.90% vs 22.94%; P=0.034). Compared with SB+TB, SB misdiagnosed csPCa in 11.47% of cases while TB misdiagnosed csPCa in 5.50% of cases. GS – Gleason score.

Table 3. A comparative analysis of the CB and FB groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>CB</th>
<th>FB</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRI suspicious lesions</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. core per patients (SD)</td>
<td>14.34 (±0.76)</td>
<td>14.65 (±0.91)</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive for any cancer, n (%)</td>
<td>62 (52.54%)</td>
<td>53 (53.00%)</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive for clinically significant cancer, n (%)</td>
<td>43 (36.44%)</td>
<td>32 (32.00%)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required for surgery (min)</td>
<td>23.42 (16-28)</td>
<td>35.16 (30-45)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CB – cognitive fusion biopsy; FB – MRI software fusion-targeted biopsy; MRI – magnetic resonance imaging.

Comparison Between CB and FB

There were 218 patients (with a total of 265 suspicious lesions) with PI-RADS v2 score ≥3 and who underwent either CB or FB. Basic patient characteristics, mpMRI imaging results, and pathological outcomes were compared between the CB and FB groups. The basic characteristics of patients, including age, PSA, PSAD, and prostate volume, were not significantly different. From our analysis, we found no difference between CB and FB in the overall PCa detection rate (52.54% vs 53.00%; P=0.842) or in the detection rate of csPCa (36.44% vs 32.00%; P=0.217). Furthermore, the difference in the time spent for biopsy was statistically significant between the CB (23.42 min) and FB (35.16 min) groups (P=0.023) (Table 3).
Outcomes of PI-RADS v2

When considering patients with a positive result on mpMRI, we found that 47 patients had 2 suspicious lesions. In these cases, we recorded the imaging results from each lesion. Of the 265 suspicious lesions, 143 (53.96%) had a PI-RADS v2 score of 3; 92 (34.71%) had a score of 4; and 30 (11.32%) had a score of 5. In the group of patients with 3 as a PI-RADS v2 score, 49 (34.27%) lesions proved to be cancerous, but only 16 (11.19%) of the suspicious lesions were indicated as clinically significant cancer. In the group of patients with 4 as a PI-RADS v2 score, 58 (63.04%) lesions proved to be cancerous, and 45 (48.91%) suspicious lesions were indicated as clinically significant cancer. In the group of patients with 5 as a PI-RADS v2 score, 27 (90.00%) lesions proved to be cancerous, and 24 (80.00%) suspicious lesions were indicated as clinically significant cancer. These data showed that as the PI-RADS v2 score increased, there was a higher detection rate for all cancers, especially clinically significant cancers (Figure 2).

Discussion

A change in the serum levels of PSA and an abnormal DRE are regarded as the most commonly used indicators for the clinical diagnosis of PCa. For patients with a PSA level >20 ng/mL, the rate of PCa diagnosis can be as high as 89.8% [19]. However, for patients with a PSA <20 ng/mL, especially in the diagnostic gray zone (4-10 ng/mL), the positive rate for PCa detection using TRUS-guided biopsy has much lower sensitivity. Over the last few years, the use of mpMRI to diagnose PCa has gradually revolutionized traditional diagnostic methods, not only for its high sensitivity in recognizing csPCa, but also because of its ability to rule out indolent tumors [20]. Olivier et al [21] evaluated 2562 patients from 43 studies and showed that MRI-guided biopsies had a similar overall PCa detection rate as TRUS-guided biopsy, increased detection rates using TRUS-guided biopsy has much lower sensitivity.

Currently, mpMRI can be achieved by various methods, including in-bore biopsy performed in the MRI suite using real-time MRI-guidance [26]; FB, in which specialized software is used to fuse MRI and TRUS images, thereby achieving direct biopsy by MRI-TRUS fusion guidance [26]; and CB, in which MRI is used to display the suspicious lesion and to cognitively target the lesion by TRUS guidance [27]. Although some studies have reported that an in-bore biopsy can improve the detection rate [28,29], this particular technique needs more expensive materials and takes longer to complete; therefore, clinicians prefer to use TB and SB techniques because they are relatively economical and convenient [30]. In our study, we compared the detection rates for any cancer and clinically significant cancer between CB and SB. There was no significant difference between CB and FB; however, the rates of cancer and clinically significant cancer were higher in the CB group than in the SB group. These findings differed from those published in 2016 [21], in which the authors compared 3 different techniques for PCa biopsy, including TRUS, CB, and FB; the sensitivity for FB was 0.89, compared with 0.86 for CB. This may be associated with our more limited experience of the early stages of FB, whereby the ultrasound screenshot layer did not exactly correspond to the MRI layer outlined previously, causing the suspicious area to be biased in fusion images, especially in some smaller lesions.

The PI-RADS v2 score, created by the European Society of Urogenital Radiology and the American College of Radiology, plays a vital role in predicting PCa [31]. A previous study evaluated the use of PI-RADS v2 for the detection of PCa and showed that it improved diagnostic performance when assessing suspicious lesions [32]. Another study from 2018 included 171 patients with suspicious PCa lesions, and of the patients showing a positive result on mpMRI, the positive rates of csPCa in patients with PI-RADS scores of 3, 4, and 5 were 12%, 60%, and 83%, respectively [4]. We assessed 265 suspicious lesions in this study, and the positive rates of csPCa in patients with PI-RADS scores of 3, 4, and 5 were 11.19%, 48.91%, and 80.00%, respectively. These findings were confirmed by pathology results and showed similar levels of detection as previous studies.
When the PI-RADS score was upgraded, there was an increase in the positive detection rate for clinically significant cancer.

This study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study, carried out in a single center and with a limited number of patients. Second, we retrospectively analyzed CB and FB in the TB group without randomization. Third, the positive detection rate for clinically significant cancer may be associated with the surgeon’s experience and learning curve. Although our research has some limitations, our results show that the combination of TB with SB could improve the positive detection rate of PCa or csPCa and may be the most suitable type of biopsy for patients with a PSA <20 ng/mL. We also found that the combination of SB and TB detected more tumors with a Gleason score of 7 than did SB alone.

Conclusions

For patients with a PSA <20 ng/mL in northeastern China, TB increased the positive detection rate of biopsy, but also missed some cases of csPCa. TB combined with SB can improve the positive detection rates of PCa and csPCa and may be the most suitable biopsy for patients with a PSA <20 ng/mL. The mpMRI is meaningful for guiding prostate biopsy. There is an increase in the positive detection rate for clinically significant cancer with an upgraded PI-RADS v2 score.
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