A Composite Tissue Engineered Bone Material Consisting of Bone Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Bone Morphogenetic Protein 9 (BMP9) Gene Lentiviral Vector, and P3HB4HB Thermogel (BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB) Repairs Calvarial Skull Defects in Rats by Expression of Osteogenic Factors
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Background: Bone tissue engineering has been proven to be an appropriate approach for treating bone defects. This study aimed to investigate the effects and mechanism of a composite tissue engineered bone material consisting of bone mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs), bone morphogenetic protein (BMP9) gene lentiviral vector, and P3HB4HB thermogel (BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB) on calvarial skull defects in rats.

Material/Methods: LV-BMP9 viral vector was structured and infected to BMSCs-P3HB4HB composite scaffold, which was named as BMSCs-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material. Adipogenic differentiation was determined by oil-red O (ORO) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining. Osteogenic differentiation was measured using Alizarin red staining. Cell viability was examined using Cell-Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. Protein expression of osteogenic factors, including BMP9, runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2), osteocalcin (OCN), osteopontin (OPN), and osterix (OSX), was detected with Western blot assay and immunohistochemistry. mRNA of these osteogenic factors was examined by RT-PCR. Histological changes were examined with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Masson’s trichrome staining. Bone repair was measured using micro-computed tomography (micro-CT).

Results: BMSCs and LV-BMP9-infected BMSCs demonstrated adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation potential. BMSCs-P3HB4HB scaffold demonstrated good cell-tissue compatibility. BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB exhibited significantly higher osteogenic ability and cell viability of BMSCs compared to BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB (p<0.05). BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB significantly promoted osteogenic factors (RUNX2, OCN, OPN, and OSX) expression compared to the BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB group (p<0.05) in both BMSCs and in calvarial defect rats. BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB demonstrated stronger repair ability. BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB significantly alleviated pathological injury and increased collagen fiber production compared to the BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB group (p<0.05).

Conclusions: BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material can effectively repair injured skull tissues of calvarial defect rats through triggering osteogenic factors expression. The present generated bone repair material may have applications in tissue engineering in regeneration of bone defects.
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Background

Bone defects are a common orthopedic disease that has been become a serious clinical problem, usually requiring resec-
tion of the damaged bones and repair with substitute mate-
rials [1,2]. Generally, bone defects are caused by several risk 

factors, including chronic infection, traumatic damage, and 

resection of bone tumors, and can further lead to serious clinical 

problems and increased morbidity [3]. The severity of bone de-

fects is correlated with the area of bone loss and involvement 
of the skeletal segment. Many strategies are used for treating 

bone defects clinically, such as allografts, alloplastic materials, 

and autographs [4]. Autogenous bone grafting has become the 

criterion standard therapy for bone defects [5]. However, the 

clinical application of autogenous bone grafting is limited by 

the shortage of donors and by complications such as infec-
tion, pain, nerve injury, and the potential for new fractures [6].

In recent years, bone tissue engineering has become accepted as 
an appropriate approach for treating bone defects, which 
can regenerate the defected or injured bone tissues through 
inTEGRating candidate cells and bone repair materials [7]. These 
cells-combined materials usually act as templates for guiding 
tissue cell growth and tissue regeneration [8]. Synthetic ma-

terials used for tissue engineering mainly include scaffolding, 
gels, stimuli-responsive materials, and composites, all of which 
demonstrate promising bone engineering potentials [9]. The 

bone repair materials act as matrices for bone formation, play-
ing critical roles in bone repair. The optimal bone repair materi-

al must have a three-dimensional (3D) structure and excellent 

biocompatibility and biological-conductivity, must be non-cyto-
toxic, and must promote cell differentiation, proliferation, and 

adhesion [10]. The bone repair material should activate gene 

expression, stimulate cell proliferation, participate in physio-

logical activity of tissues, and finally trigger the formation of 
bone tissues. Although many categories of tissue repair mate-

rials (such as the biomimetic composite materials) have been 
discovered or generated, many problems must be resolved in 
clinical applications, such as biocompatibility and biological-

conductivity, and 2 critical issues are the appropriate balance 
between degradation rate of materials and growth of tissue 
cells and the maintenance of mechanical strength of materials.

In recent years, synthetic polymer materials have been proven to 
be appropriate as tissue repair materials due to their good 

mechanical characteristics, strength, and controllable physi-
cal characteristics [11]. However, synthetic polymer materials 
also have disadvantages in terms of poor biocompatibility [11]. 
P3HB4HB is a fourth-generation synthetic polymer material 
with excellent biocompatibility, superior mechanical proper-
ties, and appropriate biodegradability, and thus has been wide-

ly applied in tissue engineering [12]. Seed cells are important 
for treating bone defects. BMSCs have been extensively used 
in tissue engineering to promote angiogenesis and osteogen-

esis, both of which can further facilitate new tissue growth in 

bone regeneration [13]. Bone mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) 
are seed cells and multi-cellular spheroids that have demon-

strated improved stem-like qualities and promote differentia-
tion ability [14]. Therefore, P3HB4HB integrating BMSCs com-

posite material would make scaffold-based strategy promising 
and increasingly practicable for clinical use in bone repair.

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are bone growth-asso-
ciated factors commonly used to promote BMSCs osteogen-

esis and formation of new bone in animal models and in vi-

tro [15]. Among BMPs, bone morphogenetic protein-9 (BMP9) 
has been proven to be a highly effective BMP for promoting 

bone formation [16]. BMP9 can also trigger the osteogenic dif-

ferentiation of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells 
by activating several signaling pathways [17]. However, it is 
also unknown whether BMP9 is capable of inducing osteogen-
ic differentiation in BMSCs.

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no previous study 
exploring the roles of BMSCs infected with lentivirus carrying 
BMP9 (LV-BMP9) and co-cultured with P3HB4HB in the re-
generation of bone defects. In this study, we infected BMSCs 
with LV-BMP9 and then cultured the cells on P3HB4HB scaf-
folds. This study aimed to investigate the effects and mech-

anism of a composite tissue engineered bone material con-
sisting of bone mesenchymal stem cells, BMP9 gene lentiviral 
vector, and P3HB4HB thermogel (BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB) 
on calvarial skull defects in rats.

Material and Methods

Animals

Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats aged 2 weeks were obtained from 
the Experimental Animal Center of Guizhou Medical University 
and were housed at 23–25°C, 50% humidity, and a light/dark 
cycle of 12 h/12 h, with free access to food and water. The 
animal experiments were performed in accordance with the 
Declaration of Helsinki [18] and were approved by the Ethics 
Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical 
University, Chongqing, China.

BMSCs isolation

The BMSCs were isolated from rats using a previously de-
scribed protocol [19] with some modifications. Briefly, the SD 
rats were anesthetized by intraperitoneally injecting alpha chlo-
oralose (55 mg/kg). The bone marrow was isolated from tibias 
and fibulas of rats, washed with phosphate-buffered saline 
(PBS), and cultured with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium 
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F12 (DMEM-F12) medium (Gibco BRL Co., Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco BRL) and 100 μg/ml streptomycin/100 U/ml penicillin (Beyotime Biotech, Shanghai, China). After culturing for 48 h, the medium was replaced, and the retained adherent cells were considered to be the BMSCs.

Induction of adipocyte and osteoblast differentiation

BMSCs were seeded onto 6-well plates (Corning-costar, Corning, NY, USA) containing adipogenic-differentiation DMEM (with insulin, FBS, dexamethasone, indomethacin, and IBMX) or osteogenic differentiation DMEM (with dexamethasone, β-glycerophosphate, and ascorbic acid) for 2 weeks, as instructed by the manufacturer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MI, USA). After induction, the adipogenic potential and osteogenic potential of BMSCs were evaluated by oil-red O (ORO) staining (Cat. No. DL0009, Leagene Biotech Co., Beijing, China) and Alizarin red staining (Cat No. DZ0201, Leagene Biotech Co.), as described in a previous study [20]. Osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs was also evaluated by alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining (Cat. No. DE0001, Leagene Biotech Co.) as described in a previous study [19].

Preparation and observation for BMSCs-P3HB4HB composite scaffold

After cultured BMSCs achieved 80% confluence, they were digested with 0.25% trypsin (Beyotime Biotech) and suspended in 10% FBS pre-treated (2 h) P3HB4HB scaffold at a density of 2×10⁶ cell/ml. The BMSCs-P3HB4HB composite scaffold was cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 7-day passaging of cells, with fresh medium provided every 3 days. Subsequently, the morphology of the BMSCs-P3HB4HB composite scaffold was observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days after culture.

Determination for effects of P3HB4HB on proliferation and cell cycle of BMSCs

Cell-Counting Kit 8 (CCK-8) assay was used to determine cell viability of BMSCs combined with and without P3HB4HB scaffold, as described in a previous study [12]. After culturing for 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days, BMSCs were incubated with CCK-8 reagent (Cat. No. 96992, Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Absorbance density (OD) was measured with an enzyme-linked immunosorbsent assay (ELISA) reader (Model: Multiskan FC, Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) at 450 nm. For the cell cycle assay, BMSCs were harvested and permeabilized in ethanol (70%) at 4°C overnight, after which RNase was used to incubate cells for 30 min at 37°C. Subsequently, BMSCs were incubated using propidium iodide (PI) for another 30 min at 4°C. Finally, cell cycle distribution was evaluated with FACSVantage SE flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, San Jose, USA).

Table 1. Specific primers for the RT-PCR assay amplifying RUNX-2, OCN, OPN, OSX, and BMP9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Sequences (5'-3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUNX-2</td>
<td>Forward: TTCAAGGGTTGACCGGCTCGG, Reverse: TGAATACCTGCGTGTCGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCN</td>
<td>Forward: ATGGCACACAGCTTGGAG, Reverse: GTGCGCGTCAATCTTTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPN</td>
<td>Forward: AAGGACAACTCAACAGCA, Reverse: CATCTGAAGTGTTCGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSX</td>
<td>Forward: ATGCCAAGACTCCACACCA, Reverse: ATGGTGCGCCGGCTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP9</td>
<td>Forward: GAGTCGCCCGAAAGGCTGG, Reverse: GTCCCCGTTATGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Forward: GCCAAGTTCAACGACCAGAC, Reverse: CGCAATGACTCCACGACAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RUNX2 – runt-related transcription factor 2; OCN – osteocalcin; OPN – osteopontin; OSX – osterix; BMP9 – bone morphogenetic protein.

LV-BMP9 infected BMSCs

After passaging for 3 generations, BMSCs were digested with 0.25% trypsin (Beyotime Biotech), re-suspended, seeded, and cultured for 3 h at 4°C and 5% CO₂. Subsequently, BMSCs were transfected with LV-BMP9 or blank LV vector at 50 multiplicity of infection (MOI) and cultured for 4 h, followed by refreshing the medium. The blank LV vector was employed as a negative control and LV-BMP9 was used as the experimental group. After culturing for 7 days, BMSCs were observed under a fluorescent microscope (Model: ECLIPSE Ti-S, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) to assess the infection efficiency. The blank LV vector and LV-BMP9 were synthesized and provided by Western Biotech Co. (Chongqing, China).

Real-time PCR assay (RT-PCR)

The total RNAs in BMSCs were extracted with cell lysis solution (Beyotime Biotech). The complementary DNAs (cDNAs) were synthesized using a reverse transcription kit (Western Biotech, Chongqing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA expression of osteogenic factors, including runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX-2), osteocalcin (OCN), osteopontin (OPN), osterix (OSX), and BMP9, were measured using a SYBR Green I RT-PCR kit (Western Biotech). The complementary DNAs (cDNAs) were used as the internal control for qRT-PCR assay. The RT-PCR assay was conducted using the ABI StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR.
system (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA). Primers for these osteogenic factors were listed in Table 1. Gene expressions of osteogenic factors were evaluated using 2\(^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}\) method [21].

**Western blot assay**

The BMSCs were lysed using Cell Lysis Buffer for Western (Cat. No. P0013, Beyotime Biotech) to obtain proteins, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentrations of obtained proteins were determined using an Enhanced BCA Protein Assay Kit (Cat. No. P0010S, Beyotime Biotech). The same dosages of proteins were added to the sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE, Amersco, Inc., Solon, OH, USA) and electric-transferred onto the polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad Lab., Hercules, CA, USA). Then, PVDF membranes were treated with mouse anti-rat RUNX2 monoclonal antibody (Cat. No. 76956), mouse anti-rat OCN monoclonal antibody (Cat. No. ab13420), rabbit anti-rat OSX polyclonal antibody (Cat. No. ab22552), and rabbit anti-rat GAPDH (Cat. No. ab9485) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Cat. No. ab9385) or HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cat. No. ab6721) at 37°C for 2 h. All of the above primary and secondary antibodies were purchased from Abcam Biotech (Cambridge, MA, USA). The Western blots were stained with a Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Cat. No. 32106, Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The Western blot images were captured and analyzed using Labworks 4.6 Analysis software (Labworks, Upland, CA, USA).

**Establishment of calvarial bone defect rat model**

The calvarial bone defect rat model was established as described in previous studies [22,23] with a few modifications. Briefly, the rats were intraperitoneally anesthetized with alphachloralose (55 mg/kg). The area of the skin covering the calvaria were shaved and disinfected using 70% ethanol. The calvaria of rats were exposed by creating circular defects 5 mm in diameter, using a high-speed skull drill (Zhenghua Bio. Co., Anhui, China). The established calvarial bone defect rats were divided into a calvarial defect model group (without transplantation of any materials), a BMSC+LV+P3HB4HB group (transplanting the BMSC+LV-P3HB4HB composite material onto the skull wound), and a BMSC+LV-BMP9+P3HB4HB group (transplanting the BMSC+LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite material onto the skull wound). Rats in the sham group only underwent transplantation of any materials, and a BMSC+LV-BMP9+P3HB4HB group (transplanting the BMSC+LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite material onto the skull wound), and a BMSC+LV-BMP9+P3HB4HB group (transplanting the BMSC+LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite material onto the skull wound). Rats in the sham group only underwent transplantation of any materials. Rats in the sham group only underwent transplantation of any materials, and the wound was not treated with any exogenous materials. The regenerations of newly-formed bones were assessed by H&E staining and Masson’s trichrome staining. The specimens (injured skulls) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, cut into sections (5-μm), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to assess pathological changes in newly-formed bones. Moreover, sections were also stained with Masson (Leagene Biotech. Co., Beijing, China) to assess changes in collagen fibers in newly-formed bones, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The specimens (injured skulls) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, cut into sections (5-μm), and stained with 3% hydrogen peroxide (Beyotime Biotech) for 15 min to inactivate endogenous-peroxidase activity. The sections were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) at room temperature for 60 min and then incubated using rabbit anti-rat OSX polyclonal antibody (Cat. No. ab22552), mouse anti-rat OCN monoclonal antibody (Cat. No. ab13420), rabbit anti-rat OSX polyclonal antibody (Cat. No. ab22552), and mouse anti-rat RUNX2 monoclonal antibody (Cat. No. 76956) at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, sections were washed with PBS 3 times and incubated with HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Cat. No. ab9385) or HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cat. No. ab6721) at room temperature for 1 h. The stained sections were subjected to diaminobenzidine (DAB, 5 min), hematoxylin (5 min), alcohol dehydration (75%, 85%, 95%, and 100% for 3 min, respectively), xylene, and sealed with neutral gum. The stained sections

**Micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) measurement**

The specimens (injured skulls) in different groups were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and scanned with a micro-CT scanner (Model: Scanco Medical viva CT 40, provided by Chongqing Stomatological Hospital, Chongqing, China). The parameters were set as: X-ray voltage of 50 kV, working current of 145 mA, isotropic-voxel size of 12 μm, and aluminum filter of 1 mm. The imaging data, including bone volume of newly regenerated bone and bone regenerations, were analyzed with CT-An software (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) after standardized reconstructing.

**Histological evaluation for bone regeneration**

The regenerations of newly-formed bones were assessed by H&E staining and Masson’s trichrome staining. Following micro-CT analysis, the specimens (injured skulls) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and paraaffin embedded. The histological sections (5-μm) were cut and prepared from the injured skull tissues using a freezing microtome (Model: RM 2145, Leica, Frankfurt, Germany). The sections were then incubated with xylene (SinoPharm Med. Co., Shanghai, China) for 15 min and washed with 100% ethanol for 10 min, 90% ethanol for 10 min, and 75% ethanol for 10 min, followed by gradient-ethanol dewaxing to water. Then, the sections were stained with hematoxylin (Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) and eosin (Beyotime Biotech) to assess pathological changes in newly-formed bones. Moreover, sections were also stained with Masson (Leagene Biotech. Co., Beijing, China) to assess changes in collagen fibers in newly-formed bones, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The images of H&E staining and Masson’s trichrome staining were captured through an optical microscope (Model: IX71, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).
were observed using a microscope (Model: IX71, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and photographed at a magnification of 100×.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD) and were analyzed with SPSS software 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Tukey’s post hoc test-validated ANOVA was used to analyze the differences among groups. \( p<0.05 \) was regarded as a statistically significant difference.

Results

BMSCs showed adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation potential

Adipogenic differentiation was evaluated using ORO staining, and the results indicated that BMSCs demonstrated no lipid droplets in the BMSCs group but demonstrated red-stained lipid droplets in the adipogenic induction group (Figure 1A). Alizarin red staining also showed that BMSCs demonstrated
Figure 2. P3HB4HB scaffold demonstrated good biocompatibility with BMSCs from 1 day to 7 days after co-culture. BMSCs – bone mesenchymal stem cells.

Figure 3. P3HB4HB scaffold co-culture increased the cell viability and modulated the cell cycle of BMSCs. (A) P3HB4HB scaffold promoted cell viability of BMSCs from 1 day to 7 days after co-culture. (B) P3HB4HB scaffold modulated cell cycle of BMSCs from 1 day to 7 days after co-culture. BMSCs – bone mesenchymal stem cells.
remarkable reddish-brown mineralized nodules in the osteogenic induction group but there were no stained nodules in the BMSCs group (Figure 1B). Our results also indicated that there were obvious blue-stained cells in the osteogenic induction group but not in the BMSCs group (Figure 1C), which suggests that osteogenic induction triggers higher ALP activity.

BMSCs-P3HB4HB scaffold demonstrated cell-tissue compatibility

After 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days of co-culturing of BMSCs and P3HB4HB together, cell-tissue compatibility was observed using SEM. The results indicated that with the prolonged co-culturing time of BMSCs and P3HB4HB materials, the cell-tissue compatibility was remarkably increased (Figure 2).

BMSCs-P3HB4HB scaffold enhanced cell viability of BMSCs and modulated cell cycle

The CCK-8 results indicated that both BMSCs and BMSCs+P3HB4HB significantly enhanced cell viability compared to that of the control group at 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days of co-culturing (Figure 3A, p<0.05). BMSCs+P3HB4HB also demonstrated significantly higher cell viability compared to that in the control group after 3 days and 7 days of co-culturing (Figure 3A, p<0.05). Furthermore, the G1 phase percentage was significantly decreased and S phase was significantly increased in the BMSCs+P3HB4HB group compared to that in the BMSCs group (Figure 3B, p<0.05).
BMP9-triggered osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs

To evaluate osteogenic effects of BMP9, the LV-BMP8 viral vector was synthesized and infected to BMSCs. The LV-BMP9 infection illustrated higher expressing efficacy (Figure 4A) and significantly increased cell viability of BMSCs compared to that in the LV infection group after 7 days of culturing (Figure 4B, \( p < 0.05 \)). LV-BMP9 infection significantly increased mRNA expression of osteogenic factors, including RUNX2, OCN, OPN, and OSX, compared to that in LV-infected BMSCs (Figure 4C, \( p < 0.01 \)).

Moreover, both Alizarin red staining (Figure 5A) and ALP staining (Figure 5B) findings also indicated BMSC+LV-BMP9 demonstrated significantly higher levels of osteogenic induction from BMSCs compared to that in the BMSC+LV group.

BMP9-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB triggered osteogenic differentiation

The Alizarin red staining (Figure 6A) and ALP staining (Figure 6B) results showed that with the prolonged culturing time (ranging from 7 days to 21 days), the osteogenic induction in BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material was remarkably higher compared to that in BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB material.

BMP9-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB promoted osteogenic factors expression

In this study, the osteogenic factors, including RUNX2, OCN, OPN, and OSX, were examined using Western blot assay (Figure 7A). The results indicated that RUNX2 expression (Figure 7B), OCN expression (Figure 7C), OPN expression (Figure 7D), and OSX expression (Figure 7E) in the BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB group were significantly higher compared to...
that in the BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB group (p<0.05) after 7 days, 14 days, and 21 days of BMSCs culturing.

**BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB demonstrated stronger repair ability**

The micro-CT findings showed that there were no skull defects in rats in the sham group but there were obvious defects in the calvarial defect model rats (Figure 8). Importantly, compared with calvarial defect model rats, the BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB composite scaffold demonstrated some obvious repair ability, but BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material had stronger repair ability (Figure 8).

**Figure 6.** BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB significantly triggered osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs at 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days after co-culture, according to both Alizarin red staining and ALP staining. (A) Alizarin red staining for osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs. (B) ALP staining for osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs. BMSCs – bone mesenchymal stem cells; BMP9 – bone morphogenetic protein; ALP – alkaline phosphatase.

**BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB alleviated pathological injury and increased collagen fiber production**

The H&E staining results showed that BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material significantly alleviated the pathological injury of calvarial defect model rats (Figure 9A). Furthermore, compared with calvarial defect model rats, there were more collagen fibers in the BMSCs-LV-P3HB4HB composite scaffold group, and increased more in the BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material group (Figure 9B).
BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB triggered osteogenic factors expression in calvarial defect model rats

The immunohistochemistry assay results showed that BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material remarkably triggered osteogenic factors expression, including RUNX2 (Figure 10A), OCN (Figure 10B), and OSX (Figure 10C), in calvarial defect model rats. These results suggest that BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material repaired the injured skull tissues by triggering the expression of osteogenic factors.

Discussion

There are many clinical challenges in bone defect regeneration due to the loss of bone functions and difficulty of bone repair [24]; therefore, it is critical to develop an effective bone repair material using a tissue engineering strategy. An appropriate tissue engineering repair material should be composed of 3 main elements: seeded cells, scaffold, and growth factors [25]. In the present investigation, we proved that BMSCs could grow effectively on a P3HB4HB scaffold and BMP9 could efficiently promote the adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs. Therefore, BMP9 expressing BMSCs co-cultured with P3HB4HB formed BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material and demonstrated higher osteogenic effects on injury in a calvarial defect rat model.
BMSCs are multi-differentiated cells that demonstrate the ability of differentiating into osteoblasts, with promising potential for repairing tissue defects [26]. When the BMSCs were seeded onto the P3HB43HB scaffold, they exhibited higher cell viability and stronger osteogenic differentiation ability. We found that the LV-BMP9-infected BMSCs demonstrated higher osteogenic capability and ALP activity. RT-PCR assay also showed that LV-BMP9 infection remarkably induced the mRNA expression of osteogenic factors, such as RUNX2, OCN, OPN, and OSX. All of these findings suggest that BMP-9 infection can remarkably promote the osteogenic differentiation ability of BMSCs, which is consistent with a previous study [17].

P3HB4HB is considered to be a composite scaffold with good biocompatibility, and is suitable for use in tissue engineering [12,27]. In the present study, the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images demonstrated that BMSCs adhered closely to and proliferated on the P3HB43HB scaffold due to its core-shell structure and mechanical characteristics [28]. Our results indicate that when cultured on the P3HB43HB scaffold, BMSCs have higher cell viability, which suggests that P3HB43HB facilitates the proliferation of BMSCs. Therefore, P3HB4HB shows promise as a scaffold for BMSCs co-culture and an implant for injured tissues.

In this study, we attempted to determine whether the LV-BMP9-infected BMSCs integrating P3HB43HB benefit the regeneration of defected skull bones. As previous studies described [29,30], the BMP9 molecule, a member of the BMP protein family, is the most effective inducer for triggering osteogenesis of BMSCs. Recent studies [31,32] also demonstrated that BMP9 administration remarkably promotes the regeneration and repair of injured bones. Therefore, we structured BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB43HB composite bone repair material using a tissue engineering approach for use in repair in a calvarial defect rat model. The micro-CT results showed that there were more novel regenerated bones in the BMSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB43HB composite bone repair material-transplanted calvarial defect rats. The histological findings also indicated that MSCs-LV-BMP9-P3HB43HB composite bone repair material remarkably increased the osteogenic differentiation of MBSCs and promoted ALP activity in vivo and in vitro. All of the above results demonstrate that

**Figure 8.** BMSC+LV-BMP9+P3HB43HB composite bone repair material demonstrated good repair ability for defect tissues of calvarial defect rats. The skull tissues in rat of sham group, calvarial defect model group, BMSC+LV+P3HB43HB group, and BMSC+LV-BMP9+P3HB43HB group are illustrated. BMSCs – bone mesenchymal stem cells.
Figure 9. Changes of pathological injury and collagen fiber production in newly-formed tissues of calvarial defect rats. (A) Evaluation of pathological changes by H&E staining. (B) Determination of contents of collagen fibers by Masson’s trichrome staining.

Figure 10. BMSC+LV-BMP9+P3HB4HB composite bone repair material promoted expression of osteogenic factors in novel regenerated tissues of calvarial defect rats, according to immunohistochemistry assay (IHC). (A) RUNX2 expression in regenerated tissues. (B) OCN expression in regenerated tissues. (C) OPN expression in regenerated tissues. BMSCs – bone mesenchymal stem cells; OCN – osteocalcin; OPN – osteopontin.
BMMP9 induction mediated the ALP and osteogenic activity of the BMSCs and promoted the regeneration of osteoblasts, after which the bone regeneration was initiated.

In this study, the tissue engineering-guided strategy combining BMMP9 gene, BMSCs, and P3HB4HB scaffold demonstrated the best repairing effects on calvarial defect of rats. However, there are some limitations in the present study. First, the generated bone repair material had some of the same limitations as reported with previously constructed materials or scaffolds [13,17,33,34], such as problems with long-term effectiveness, security of materials, and stability of materials. In future research, we will attempt to overcome these limitations of the material. Second, we used rats, not mice, to generate the calvarial defect model because the larger size of rats makes surgery easier. However, the transgenic mouse model could better identify calvarial tissue origins, signaling pathways involved in during healing, and possible strategies to enhance bone formation [35]. In subsequent research using a calvarial defect model, we plan to use the mouse model and to explore the signaling pathways and biomarkers participating in calvarial tissue repair in transgenic mice.
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Conclusions

The present study created an implant combining LV-BMMP9-infected BMSCs and P3HB4HB scaffold, named as BMSCs-LV-BMMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material. BMMP9-expressing BMSCs demonstrated higher osteogenic differentiation when co-cultured on the P3HB4HB scaffold. BMSCs-LV-BMMP9-P3HB4HB composite bone repair material effectively repaired the injured skull tissues of the calvarial defect rats. This bone repair material may have applications in tissue engineering for regeneration of bone defects.
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